Cyber-reputation: risk turbocharged

By Andrea Bonime-Blanc

Companies that suffer a cyber-attack can find the biggest damage is to their reputation. They need to protect themselves from this ‘risk of risks’

The Economist Intelligence Unit published a prescient report in 2007: “Reputation: The Risk of Risks”, which was if not the first, then certainly the most prominent and thoughtful treatment of the issue of reputation risk at that time. Today, almost 10 years later, we find ourselves in the unenviable position of not only living through the age of reputation risk but also through the age of cyber-risk. These two risks – reputation and cyber – are often strategically important risks to any given organisation – whether a corporation, government agency, non-profit group or university. And the combination of the two – cyber-reputation risk – is a new and powerful strategic issue.

In this article I will make this case and issue a call to arms to all leaders – whether corporate executives, boards of directors, heads of non-profits or of government agencies. Leaders need to be sensitised to the fact that in addition to reputation risk and cyber-risk individually, the combination of the two is today’s strategic ‘risk of risks’, turbocharged.

What is reputation risk and why do stakeholders matter?
Reputation risk as it relates to organisations is unlike almost any other risk – it is pervasive and cuts across many other kinds of risk. In “The Reputation
Critical actors in managing cyber risk are the board and C-suite

Risk Handbook”, I offer the following definition: “Reputation risk is an amplifier risk that layers on or attaches to other risks – especially ESG risks – adding negative or positive implications to the materiality, duration or expansion of the other risks on the affected organisation, person, product or service.”

The role of stakeholders in the reputation risk equation is critical: knowing who your stakeholders are, understanding their expectations of your organisation and how to prioritise them has everything to do with effective reputation risk management.

What is cyber risk? What is cyber-risk governance?

Cyber-risk can be defined in a variety of ways, but the simplest and most to-the-point I have seen – which interestingly includes a reference to reputation – is the following from the Institute of Risk Management: “Cyber risk” means any risk of financial loss, disruption or damage to the reputation of an organisation from some sort of failure of its information technology systems.”

In turn, cyber-risk governance involves those at the top of the organisation asking whether the proper approach to triangulating this risk exists. The Conference Board Report “Emerging Practices in Cyber-Risk Governance” says: “Cyber-risk governance is a framework adopted within an organisation to deal with the new and evolving risks relating to cyber space both within the organisation and as the organisation interfaces with the outside world. In this framework, the critical actors are the board, the C-suite or executive team, and frontline top management in charge of executing cyber-risk management.”

One of the key take-aways of the report is the following: “Cyber risk should be considered at the top of many companies’ risk prioritisation, whether they have suffered from a major or material cyber attack (yet) or not. When a company doesn’t have the right overall cyber-risk governance programme in place, the potential reputation risk consequences can amplify the company’s
exposure to both tangible and further intangible consequences that may be difficult, costly and lengthy to repair.”

**The penny is beginning to drop**

There is a growing body of evidence that executives and board members are beginning to accept that reputation risk and cyber-risk are two of the top strategic risks of our time; that these risks separately or, even worse, together can have a strategically significant impact on the wellbeing, longevity and profitability of an organisation and its stakeholders.

In October 2015, Marsh Risk Consulting released an important new survey of global corporate executives showing that the two top global risks concerning C-suites and risk executives today were cyber and reputation risk, but especially the reputation risk associated with cyber breaches: “79% of respondents selected reputational damage from a sensitive data breach as the most likely and high-impact risk,” the survey said.

The chart on page 55 provides a snapshot of the reputation risk impact on four companies that suffered major public cyber-security attacks over the past few years.

The RepRisk Index reflects big data analytics gathered daily from global media sources with respect to each company and its risk exposure, analysed and prioritised along a 100-point system where exposure above 50 generally represents a high or very high reputation risk exposure, according to a strict methodology developed by and proprietary to RepRisk.

It can be gleaned from this data that each company retained significantly higher reputation risk consequences over time after its cyber-event compared with its peer group of companies (the grey bar in the chart).

**What works – and what doesn’t**

While this article is not intended to rattle cages or scare directors and executives, it is a call to arms on both reputation risk and cyber-risk governance, two of today’s most important strategic risks. And strategic risk is first and foremost the responsibility of boards of directors/trustees and chief executive officers, presidents, heads of agencies and their C-suites.
What you need to know

1. Effective cyber-risk governance requires a triangular framework, including the board, the C-suite and key functional executives.
2. Cyber-risk is a strategic risk closely related to another strategic risk: reputation risk.
3. Effective cyber-risk governance requires knowledge of who your cyber-risk actors and stakeholders are.
4. Successful cyber-risk governance requires a deep understanding of the organisation’s “crown jewels” ie what are the highest value cyber-targets in the organisation – intellectual property, personal information, financial account, etc?
5. A private sector/government agency partnership may be necessary in some industries, such as banking and critical infrastructure.
6. Effective cyber-risk governance requires a cross-disciplinary approach and segmental/divisional approach – where all divisions or business units collaborate on a cyber-defence strategy.
7. Cyber-risk should be an essential part of an organisation’s resilience planning. It should be incorporated in any crisis management business continuity and disaster recovery planning system.
8. Organisations that are able to manage their cyber-risk successfully may find embedded opportunities for cost savings, process improvement and even new revenue streams.

The book describes five models of cyber-risk governance – only three of which are successful. Success depends on meeting whether leadership is providing the necessary resources, budget and care required on this issue and whether the organisation has low/medium/high cyber-risk exposure depending on its footprint, focus, sector, etc. The Irresponsible Model is one in which leadership in a medium- to high-risk setting is not tackling the issue. The Complacent Model is one in which leadership in a lower-risk environment is not properly addressing the issue. Those that are more successful are the Vigilant Model, for leaders in a low-risk environment, Integrated Model, where leaders are working in a higher risk environment with a decentralised business model, and the Command and Control Model, where leaders in a higher-risk environment are working with a centralised business model.

Finally, and more focused on the combined threat of cyber-reputation...
risk, here are additional guidelines that leaders (boards and executives) of any type of organisation (corporate, NGO, governmental or educational) should consider to understand and tackle the combined threats and effects of cyber-reputation risk – that new turbocharged risk of risks of our time.

• Have at least one independent member of the board with deep and broad risk expertise and if you’re deep into technology, maybe even a technology executive.

• Don’t just think about financial risks – scan the horizon of strategic non-financial risk regularly and understand what it means to your business/sector.

• Require your CEO/leader to spend time on non-financial risk and integrating this properly into the organisation’s strategy. Measure the effect of these steps.

• Have access to the right technology gurus for your organisation:
  - Start with the chief technology officer, chief information security officer or chief information officer
  - Make sure your C-suite and board also have technology savvy players
  - Get the right outside experts to help you, regularly
  - Benchmark what others are doing both within and outside of your sector

• Reputation risk is not public relations – it’s risk management that requires the participation of public relations and a number of other key players and experts.

• Consider appointing a chief risk and reputation officer.

• Cyber-reputation risk management is a team sport – not an individual one. Gather the key decision-makers and experts together and do it regularly before, during and after a cyber-reputation risk incident. This is the only way that your organisation can hope to build the long-term resilience muscle it will need to manage this turbocharged strategic risk, which is going nowhere any time soon.